arXiv:hep-ph/9406375v1 23 Jun 1994

TU-460
June, 1994

Vector-like Strong Coupling theory with small S and T parameters

Nobuhiro Maekawa [†] [‡]

Department of Physics, Tohoku University,
Sendai 980, Japan

Abstract

We propose a mechanism which can reduce the Peskin and Takeuchi's S, T and U parameters in dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking models. It is interesting that not only S but also T parameter can become small even if there exists large isospin violation in fermion condensation. For example, when we take the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ breaking mass of up-type fermion $m_U = 1$ TeV and that of down-type $m_D = 0$, we get S$\sim 0.001N$ and T$\sim 0.05N$ for the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ invariant masses $M = 10$ TeV. The point is that these parameters are suppressed by $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ invariant masses which the vector-like fermions can have.

[†] Fellow of the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

[‡] N. Maekawa will be in SLAC in this summer.

Dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking is one of the most attractive mechanism which can solve the naturalness problem in the standard model [1]. However recent precision measurements on S and T parameters give these models rather severe constraints [2]. S parameter gives a severe constraint to the number of new $SU(2)_L$ doublets, which limits the dynamical models like 'Walking Technicolor' [3]. T parameter makes it difficult to break isospin symmetry in dynamical breaking sector [4] in spite of the fact that the Nature has very large isospin violation such as $m_b/m_t << 1$. Top condensation [5] is attractive as a point that no new particle exists. But unfortunately it requires strong fine tuning [§], therefore it does not solve the naturalness problem. If one introduces 4-th generation fermion condensation [6], one should introduce degenerate masses of the 4-th up and down-type quarks, which is not so natural because the Nature has large isospin violation.

S, T and U parameters are non-decoupling parameters in terms of $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ breaking masses m. On the other hand, they must be suppressed by $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ invariant masses M in the limit $M \to \infty$ because of decoupling theorem [8]. Therefore even if one introduces new particles which have the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ breaking masses m, S, T and U parameters can be reduced if they have also $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ invariant masses $M >> m$. By these facts, we can expect that if the condensation of massive vector-like fields (i.e. they have $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ invariant masses M) [9, 10, 11, 12] can break the standard gauge symmetry, S, T and U parameters can become small at least in the limit $M >> m$. In this paper, we would like to discuss dynamical electroweak symmetry breaking by vector-like fields' condensation which is induced mainly by 4-fermi interactions.

First, let us discuss the dynamical symmetry breaking by vector-like fields. Here we introduce 2 pairs of vector-like fields $Q_{L,R} = (Q^U, Q^D)_{L,R} = (2, Y)_{L,R}$ and $U_{R,L} = (1, Y + \frac{1}{2})_{R,L}$, in which the numbers are quantum numbers of $SU(2)_L$ and $U(1)_Y$ respectively, and L and R represent the chirality. Here we adopt the following lagrangian;

$$\mathcal{L}_4 = \bar{Q}(iD^\mu\gamma_\mu - M_Q)Q + \bar{U}(iD^\mu\gamma_\mu - M_U)U$$

[§] This is because the T parameter must be made small. In order to decrease the composite scale Λ very heavy top quark is needed [5, 7].

$$+(\frac{G}{N}(\bar{Q}\frac{(1+\gamma_5)}{2}U)(\bar{U}\frac{(1-\gamma_5)}{2}Q)+h.c.), \tag{1}$$

in which M_Q and M_U are $SU(2)_L\times U(1)_Y$ invariant masses, D^μ is a covariant derivative of the standard gauge groups, and we neglected every 4-fermi interaction except that in Eq. (1) for simplicity. Here we only assume the chiral structure of the 4-fermi interaction, which we will discuss later. By using auxiliary field method, this lagrangian is rewritten like

$$\mathcal{L}_Y=\bar{Q}(iD^\mu\gamma_\mu-M_Q)Q+\bar{U}(iD^\mu\gamma_\mu-M_U)U-\frac{N}{G}\phi^\dagger\phi+(\bar{Q}\frac{(1+\gamma_5)}{2}U\phi+h.c.). \tag{2}$$

We integrate the fermion fields, and get the $1/N$ leading potential

$$\begin{aligned}
V &= \frac{N}{G}v^2-\frac{N}{8\pi}I+const, && (3)\\
I &= \frac{\Lambda^4}{2}[\ln(1+2\alpha+x_Qx_U)+2\alpha \\
&\quad -(\alpha+\beta)^2\ln\frac{1+\alpha+\beta}{\alpha+\beta}-(\alpha-\beta)^2\ln\frac{1+\alpha-\beta}{\alpha-\beta}] && (4)\\
&\sim \left\{\begin{array}{ll}\frac{1}{2}\Lambda^4(1-x_Qx_U)\ln v^2 & (v\to\infty)\\ f(x_Q,x_U)\Lambda^2v^2+const, & (v\to 0)\end{array}\right. && (5)\\
x_{(Q,U)} &= \frac{M^2_{(Q,U)}}{\Lambda^2}, && (6)\\
\alpha &= \frac{1}{2}(x_Q+x_U+v^2/\Lambda^2), && (7)\\
\beta &= \sqrt{\alpha^2-x_Qx_U}, && (8)\\
f(x,y) &= \frac{1}{2(1+x)(1+y)}+\frac{1}{2}-\left\{\frac{x^2}{2(x-y)}(2\ln\left(\frac{1+x}{x}\right)-\frac{1}{1+x})+(x\leftrightarrow y)\right\} && (9)
\end{aligned}$$

with the vacuum expectation value of Higgs field $\langle\phi\rangle=(v,0)$ and the cut off Λ. For any fixed value of M_U and M_Q, there exists a critical coupling $G_c(x_Q,x_U)=8\pi^2/(\Lambda^2 f(x_Q,x_U))$ (see Fig.1). On the contrary, for any fixed value of G greater than $8\pi^2/\Lambda^2$, the critical line exists in (M_Q,M_U) plane, at which v drops to zero. This is intuitively understandable. If the interaction is so strong that the binding energy becomes larger than sum of the bare masses, the mass square of this bound state becomes negative and the symmetric vacuum becomes unstable.

The breaking mass of the fermion m_U, the W boson mass m_W and the Higgs mass

m_H can be roughly estimated by the following relations;

$$m_U = v, \tag{10}$$

$$m_W^2 = \frac{1}{2} g_2^2 Z_\phi v^2, \tag{11}$$

$$m_H^2 = \frac{1}{2Z_\phi} \frac{d^2V}{dv^2}, \tag{12}$$

where the renormalization constant Z_ϕ and the curvature of the potential are

$$Z_\phi = \frac{N}{16\pi^2} \left(\ln \frac{1+x}{x} - \frac{1}{1+x} - \frac{1}{3(1+x)^2} \right), \tag{13}$$

$$\frac{d^2V}{dv^2} = \frac{Nv^2}{2\pi^2} \left(\ln \frac{1+x}{x} - \frac{1}{1+x} - \frac{1}{2(1+x)^2} - \frac{1}{3(1+x)^3} \right). \tag{14}$$

Here $x = M^2/\Lambda^2$ and we have used the stationary condition $dV/dv = 0$ and the approximation $v^2 << M^2, \Lambda^2$. From the above relations we can easily find that $\sqrt{N} m_U \sim \sqrt{N} m_H \sim O(\text{TeV})$ (see Fig.2). In order to suppress S and T parameters, we should take $m_U << M$, which requires a kind of fine tuning (see Fig.3). But this is not so strong fine tuning as the top condensation, and the condensation of the massive vector-like fields is possible under 4-fermi interaction.

Secondly, we would like to estimate the S and T parameters in a theory with massive vector-like fields [13, 14] in order to see that they are actually suppressed by the $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ invariant masses M. For completeness we introduce one more pair of vector-like fields $D_{R,L} = (1, Y - \frac{1}{2})_{R,L}$. The mass matrices are taken as

$$M_u = \begin{pmatrix} M_Q & m_U \\ m_U & M_U \end{pmatrix}, \ M_d = \begin{pmatrix} M_Q & m_D \\ m_D & M_D \end{pmatrix}, \tag{15}$$

in which M_Q, M_U and M_D are gauge invariant masses and m_U and m_D are $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ breaking masses. Here we took these mass matrices symmetric for simplicity. And the fermion mass part of the lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L}_M = (\bar{Q}_L^U, \bar{U}_L) M_u \begin{pmatrix} Q_R^U \\ U_R \end{pmatrix} + (\bar{Q}_L^D, \bar{D}_L) M_d \begin{pmatrix} Q_R^D \\ D_R \end{pmatrix} + h.c. \tag{16}$$

$$= (\bar{U}_{L1}, \bar{U}_{L2}) \begin{pmatrix} m_{U1} & 0 \\ 0 & m_{U2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} U_{R1} \\ U_{R2} \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$$

$$+ (\bar{D}_{L1}, \bar{D}_{L2}) \begin{pmatrix} m_{D1} & 0 \\ 0 & m_{D2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D_{R1} \\ D_{R2} \end{pmatrix} + h.c. \tag{18}$$

in which

$$\left(\begin{array}{c} U_{(L,R)1} \\ U_{(L,R)2} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} c & -s \\ s & c \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} Q^U_{(L,R)} \\ U_{(L,R)} \end{array}\right), \quad \left(\begin{array}{c} D_{(L,R)1} \\ D_{(L,R)2} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \bar{c} & -\bar{s} \\ \bar{s} & \bar{c} \end{array}\right) \left(\begin{array}{c} Q^D_{(L,R)} \\ D_{(L,R)} \end{array}\right). \tag{19}$$

The S and T parameters are estimated via the fermion loops as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{S} &= \frac{N}{6\pi}[-4Y(c^2 \ln m_{U1}^2 + s^2 \ln m_{U2}^2 - \bar{c}^2 \ln m_{D1}^2 - \bar{s}^2 \ln m_{D2}^2) \\ &\quad -c^2 s^2 (6\chi(m_{U1}, m_{U2}) + \frac{m_{U1}^2 + m_{U2}^2}{m_{U1} m_{U2}} - 2) \\ &\quad -\bar{c}^2 \bar{s}^2 (6\chi(m_{D1}, m_{D2}) + \frac{m_{D1}^2 + m_{D2}^2}{m_{D1} m_{D2}} - 2)], \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{T} &= \frac{N}{8\pi \sin^2\theta_W m_W^2}[c^2 \bar{c}^2 \theta(m_{U1}, m_{D1}) + c^2 \bar{s}^2 \theta(m_{U1}, m_{D2}) \\ &\quad + s^2 \bar{c}^2 \theta(m_{U2}, m_{D1}) + s^2 \bar{s}^2 \theta(m_{U2}, m_{D2}) \\ &\quad - c^2 s^2 \theta(m_{U1}, m_{U2}) - \bar{c}^2 \bar{s}^2 \theta(m_{D1}, m_{D2})], \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

where the functions [14]

$$\begin{aligned} \chi(x,y) &= \frac{5}{9} - \frac{4x^2y^2}{3(x^2-y^2)^2} - \frac{x^6 + y^6 - 3x^2y^2(x^2+y^2)}{3(x^2-y^2)^3} \ln\frac{x^2}{y^2} \\ &\quad + xy\left[-\frac{1}{6x^2} - \frac{1}{6y^2} + \frac{x^2+y^2}{(x^2-y^2)^2} - \frac{2x^2y^2}{(x^2-y^2)^3}\ln\frac{x^2}{y^2}\right], \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

$$\theta(x,y) = x^2 + y^2 - \frac{2x^2y^2}{x^2-y^2}\ln\frac{x^2}{y^2} + 2xy\left[\frac{x^2+y^2}{x^2-y^2}\ln\frac{x^2}{y^2} - 2\right] \tag{23}$$

are non-negative, and zero only if $x = y$. And N means the number of $SU(2)_L$ doublets. In the following, we take $m_D = 0$, i.e. the isospin is maximally violated [¶]. If $M = M_Q = M_U = M_D >> m = m_U$, then the S and T parameters can be expanded by m/M

$$\mathrm{S} = \frac{2N}{3\pi}(Y + \frac{33}{60})\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^2 + O\left(\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^4\right), \tag{24}$$

$$\mathrm{T} = \frac{Nm^2}{40\pi \sin^2\theta_W m_W^2}\left(\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^2 + O\left(\left(\frac{m}{M}\right)^4\right)\right). \tag{25}$$

It is sure that the decoupling theorem works. For example, if we take $m = 1$ TeV and $M = 10$ TeV, then S$\sim 0.001N$ and T$\sim 0.05N$. Notice that the parameter T is fairly small in spite of such a large isospin violation ($m_U = 1$ TeV and $m_D = 0$). This may explain the large isospin violation in the Nature.

[¶] It is interesting that there exists the region that S parameter can be negative when $M \sim m_U$, $m_D = 0$ and $Y = -1/2$ (lepton like), though the mass scale should be rather small (~ 100 GeV) in order to make T parameter small.

Finally we would like to discuss what models can realize the above scenario. By using the mechanism in this paper, the top condensation is naturally extended to 4-th family and a anti-family scenario. This model is interesting because it does not need so strong fine tuning and large isospin violation can be realized. However we would like to discuss another model here. We introduce one anti-family techni-fermion in addition to ordinary one family techni-fermion and 2 extended technicolor (ETC) gauge groups $SU(N_{TC}+3)_G \times SU(N_{TC})_{AG}$. Namely, we assign quantum numbers $(N_{TC}+3, 1)$ to the techni-fermions and ordinary matters, and $(1, N_{TC})$ to the anti-techni-fermions. We assume the following breaking pattern;

$$\begin{array}{cl}
 & SU(N_{TC}+3)_G \times SU(N_{TC})_{AG} \times G_{SM} \\
\Lambda_1 & \\
\rightarrow & SU(N_{TC}+2)_G \times SU(N_{TC})_{AG} \times G_{SM} \\
\Lambda_2 & \\
\rightarrow & SU(N_{TC}+1)_G \times SU(N_{TC})_{AG} \times G_{SM} \\
\Lambda_3 & \\
\rightarrow & SU(N_{TC})_G \times SU(N_{TC})_{AG} \times G_{SM} \\
\Lambda & \\
\rightarrow & SU(N_{TC})_V \times G_{SM} \\
\Lambda_W & \\
\rightarrow & SU(N_{TC})_V \times SU(3)_C \times U(1)_Q,
\end{array}$$

where $G_{SM} = SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ and $SU(N_{TC})_V$ is a vector-like technicolor group. Under the scale Λ vector-like techni-fermions can have G_{SM} invariant masses. It is natural to expect that the generation gauge coupling g_G is larger than the anti-generation gauge coupling g_{AG} because $N_{TC}+3 > N_{TC}$. Suppose that g_G is enough strong at the scale Λ to induce the strong chiral 4-fermi interaction like in the previous discussion. The 4-fermi interaction induced by the extended technicolor interactions [‖]

[‖] In this paper S and T parameters are estimated only via the fermion loops, though we should estimay be below the critical value, but if the 4-fermi interaction is near critical and the effect of the strong technicolor interaction $SU(N_{TC})_V$ are also taken into account, it is not so unnatural to expect that the condensation becomes possible, which breaks $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ against the vacuum alignment by the invariant mass terms [**]. Except the fact that the techni-fermions are vector-like and have $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ invariant masses, this is effectively so-called gauged Nambu-Jona-Lasinio model [16], which can play important roles to solve FCNC problem, light pseudo Nambu Goldstone problem and mass hierarchy problem.

In conclusion, if vector-like fermions condensate and break the standard gauge symmetry, S, T parameters can be small in spite of the large isospin violation. The point is that S, T parameters are suppressed by $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ invariant masses which vector-like fermions can have. Since the Nature has large isospin violation, we think that this mechanism is important to build realistic models.

The author thanks to T. Yanagida for reading this manuscript and valuable comments. We would like to thank also D. Suematsu for letting me know some papers[11, 12]. This work is supported in part by the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

References

[1] E. Farhi and L. Susskind, *Phys. Rep.* **74** (1981) 277 and references therein.

[2] M. E. Peskin and T. Takeuchi, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65** (1990) 964; *Phys. Rev.* **46** (1992) 381; B. Holdom and J. Terning, *Phys. Lett.* **B247** (1990) 88; T. Appelquist and G. Triantaphyllou, *Phys. Lett.* **B278** (1992) 345; T. Appelquist and J. Terning, *Phys. Lett.* **B315** (1993) 139.

---

mate contributions from the bound states. If 4-fermi interactions are induced by extended technicolor interactions, there must exist vector resonances in general. If the masses of the vector bound states are light, S and T parameters will be seriously changed. Our estimation in this paper seems to suggest that the masses of the vector states remain heavy, $O(M)$ in spite of the strong 4-fermi interaction. This may be because in vector channel quadratic divergence does not exist. More study is needed at this point.

[**] In this model, Witten-Vafa's theorem [15] cannot be applied because chiral structure plays important role to break the electroweak symmetry.

[3] B. Holdom, *Phys. Lett.* **150B** (1985) 301; K. Yamawaki, M. Bando and K. Matsumoto, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **56** (1986) 1335; T. Akiba and T. Yanagida, *Phys. Lett.* **169B** (1986) 432; T. Appelquist, D. Karabali and L.C.R. Wijewardhana, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57** (1986) 957.

[4] R.S. Chivukula, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **61** (1988) 2657.

[5] V.A. Miransky, M. Tanabashi and K. Yamawaki, *Phys. Lett.* **B221** (1989) 177; *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A4** (1989) 1043; Y. Nambu, Chicago preprint EFI 89-08(1989); W.J. Marciano, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **62** (1989) 2793; *Phys. Rev.* **D41** (1990) 219; W.A. Bardeen, C.T. Hill and M. Lindner, *Phys. Rev.* **D41** (1990) 1647; C.T. Hill, *Phys. Lett.* **B266** (1991) 419.

[6] C.T. Hill and E.A. Paschos, *Phys. Lett.* **B241** (1990) 96; C.T. Hill, M. Luty and E.A. Paschos, *Phys. Rev.* **D43** (1991) 3011.

[7] M. Bando, N. Maekawa and K. Suehiro, *Phys. Lett.* **B254** (1991) 207.

[8] T. Appelquist and J. Carazone, *Phys. Rev.* **D11** (1975) 2856; Y. Kazama and Y.P. Yao, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **43** (1979) 1562; *Phys. Rev.* **D21** (1980) 1116, 1138; **D25** (1982) 1605.

[9] J. Preskill and S. Weinberg, *Phys. Rev.* **D24** (1981) 1059.

[10] S. Dimopoulos and J. Preskill, *Nucl. Phys.* **B199** (1982) 206.

[11] H. Georgi and D.B. Kaplan, *Phys. Lett.* **145B** (1984) 216; M.J. Dugan, H. Georgi and D.B. Kaplan, *Nucl. Phys.* **B254** (1985) 299; D. Suematsu, *Z. Phys* **C46** (1990) 151.

[12] T. Banks, *Nucl. Phys.* **B243** (1984) 125.

[13] G. Bhattacharyya and A. Raychaudhuri, *Phys. Lett.* **B296** (1992) 448; F. Caravaglios, *Phys. Lett.* **B324** (1994) 105.

[14] L. Lavoura and J.P. Silva, *Phys. Rev.* **D47** (1993) 2046.

[15] C. Vafa and E. Witten, *Nucl. Phys.* **B234** (1984) 173.

[16] V.A. Miransky and K. Yamawaki, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A4** (1989) 129; T. Appelquist, M.B. Einhorn, T. Takeuchi and L.C.R. Wijewardhana, *Phys. Lett.* **B220** (1989)

223; B. Holdom, *Phys. Lett.* **B226** (1989) 137; T. Takeuchi, *Phys. Rev.* **D40** (1989) 2697.

Figure Caption

Fig.1

The inverse of critical coupling $G_c(0,0)/G_c(x,x) = f(x,x)$ with $x = M^2/\Lambda^2$.

Fig.2

$SU(2)_Y \times U(1)_Y$ breaking mass of fermion m_U (solid line) and mass of Higgs m_H (dashed line) with $x = M^2/\Lambda^2$. In the limit $\Lambda \to \infty$, i.e. $x \to 0$, the ratio m_H/m_U becomes 2, which is so called Nambu-Jona-Lasinio relation.

Fig.3

The potential with fine tuning $\hat{v} = v/\Lambda << 1$. Here we take $M^2 = 0.1\Lambda^2$ and $G = 1.636 G_c(0,0)$.

This figure "fig1-1.png" is available in "png" format from:

http://arXiv.org/ps/hep-ph/9406375v1